



राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य शासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

वीरवार, 8 जून, 2017 / 18 ज्येष्ठ, 1939

हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 28th November, 2016

No.:11-1/85(Lab)ID/2016/Kangra.—Whereas Shri Tulsi Ram S/O Shri Nanku Ram, R/O V.P.O. Kopra, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated nil received in Labour Office Kangra at Dharamshala on 13-06-2011 regarding his illegal termination from the

services by (i) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (ii) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he has sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Kangra at Dharamshala was considered, examined and the Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 23 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 22-08-2013;

And whereas Shri Tulsi Ram S/O Shri Nanku Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide CWP No. 495/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the CWP on dated 19-03-2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment dated 30th December, 2014, delivered by the Hon'ble High Court in C.W.P. No. 9467 of 2014, titled as Pratap Chand Versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows:-

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Government of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether the alleged termination of services of Shri Tulsi Ram S/O Shri Nanku Ram, R/O V.P.O. Kopra, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during January, 1987 by (i) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (ii) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked on daily wages as beldar and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 23 years vide demand notice dated nil received in the Labour Office Kangra at Dharamshala on 13-06-2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view delay of more than 23 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employers/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 28th November, 2016*

No.:11-1/85(Lab)ID/2016/Kangra.—Whereas Shri Nirmal Singh S/O Shri Raghu Nath Singh, R/O V.P.O. Khanni, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated nil received in Labour Office Kangra at Dharamshala on 04-03-2013 regarding his illegal termination from the services by (i) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (ii) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he has sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Kangra at Dharamshala was considered, examined and the Deputy Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of about 23 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 31-12-2014;

And whereas Shri Nirmal Singh S/O Shri Raghu Nath Singh agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide CWP No. 890/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the CWP on dated 19-04-2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment dated 30th December, 2014, delivered by the Hon'ble High Court in C.W.P. No. 9467 of 2014, titled as Pratap Chand Versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows:—

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Government of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication :—

“Whether the alleged termination of services of Shri Nirmal Singh S/O Shri Raghu Nath Singh, R/O V.P.O. Khanni, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during year, 1990 by (i) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (ii) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked on daily wages as beldar and has raised his industrial dispute after about 23 years vide demand notice dated nil received in the Labour Office Kangra at Dharamshala on 04-03-2013, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view delay of about 23 years in raising the industrial dispute,

what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employers/management?"

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 11th November, 2016

No.:11-5/99(Lab)ID/2016/Chamba.—Whereas the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba has submitted a report as provided under Section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 stating that there was an alleged industrial dispute in between Shri Paras Ram S/O Shri Lal Singh, R/O Village Kamla, P.O. Garnota, Tehsil Bhatiyat, District Chamba, H.P. and the Additional Superintending Engineer, Electrical Division H.P.S.E.B.L., Dalhousie, District Chamba, H.P. as per demand notice dated 27-08-2015 submitted by the said ex-worker regarding his alleged termination of services.

Whereas, the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

Whereas, undersigned while exercising the power vested as provided under sub- section-5 of Section-12 of the Act ibid carefully examined the report and come to the conclusion that above ex-worker had raised the dispute of alleged illegal termination from the services during January, 1991 before the above employer after delay of more than 24 years and has worked during March, 1987 and December, 1990 respectively.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as provided in Sub Section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) and keeping in view the latest judgments of the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla about the declining the references to the Labour Court for adjudication, formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, for legal adjudication on following issue/issues:—

“Whether alleged termination of the services of Shri Paras Ram S/O Shri Lal Singh, R/O Village Kamla, P.O. Garnota, Tehsil Bhatiyat, District Chamba, H.P. during January, 1991 by the Additional Superintending Engineer, Electrical Division H.P.S.E.B.L., Dalhousie, District Chamba, H.P. who has worked as beldar on daily wages basis and has raised his

industrial dispute vide demand notice dated 27-08-2015 after delay of more than 24 years, without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of working period from March, 1987 to December, 1990 and delay of more than 24 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above exworker is entitled to from the above employer/management? ”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 28th November, 2016

No.:11-23/84(Lab)ID/2016/Mandi.—Whereas the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi has submitted a report as provided under Section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 stating that there was an alleged industrial dispute in between Shri Prakash Chand S/O Shri Achhar Singh, R/O Village Chowari, P.O. Balag, Tehsil Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. and the Divisional Forest Officer, Suket Forest Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. as per demand notice dated 21-01-2015 submitted by the said ex-worker regarding his alleged termination of services.

Whereas, the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

Whereas, undersigned while exercising the power vested as provided under sub- section-5 of Section-12 of the Act ibid carefully examined the report and come to the conclusion that above ex-worker had raised the dispute of alleged illegal termination from the services during December, 2010 before the above employer after delay of more than 4 years and has worked during year, 1998 to December, 2010.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as provided in Sub Section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) and keeping in view the latest judgments of the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla about the declining the references to the Labour Court for adjudication, formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, for legal adjudication on following issue/issues:—

“Whether alleged termination of the services of Shri Prakash Chand S/O Shri Achhar Singh, R/O Village Chowari, P.O. Balag, Tehsil Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. during

December, 2010 by the Divisional Forest Officer, Suket Forest Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. who has worked as beldar on daily wages basis and has raised his industrial dispute vide demand notice dated nil received in the Labour Office Mandi on 21-01-2015 after delay of more than 4 years, without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of working period from year, 1998 to December, 2010 and delay of more than 4 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management? ”

By order,
Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 7th November, 2016

No.:11-1/85(Lab)ID/2016/Kangra.—Whereas Shri Pritam Chand S/O Shri Chatro Ram, R/O V.P.O. Danni, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated nil received in Labour Office Kangra at Dharamshala on 29-11-2012 regarding his illegal termination from the services by (i) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (ii) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he has sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Kangra at Dharamshala was considered, examined and the Deputy Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 21 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 23-03-2015;

And whereas Shri Pritam Chand S/O Shri Chatro Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide CWP No. 1043/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the CWP on dated 24-05-2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment dated 30th December, 2014, delivered by the Hon'ble High Court in C.W.P. No. 9467 of 2014, titled as Pratap Chand Versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows:—

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. *The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.*”

Therefore in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Government of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether the alleged termination of services of Shri Pritam Chand S/O Shri Chatro Ram, R/O V.P.O. Danni, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during year, 1990 by (i) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (ii) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked on daily wages as beldar and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 21 years vide demand notice dated nil received in the Labour Office Kangra at Dharamshala on 29-11-2012, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view delay of more than 21 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employers/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 10th November, 2016

No.:11-1/85(Lab)ID/2016/Kangra.—Whereas Shri Raghubir Singh S/O Shri Mansa Ram, R/O V.P.O. Aund, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated nil received in Labour Office Kangra at Dharamshala on 04-03-2013 regarding his illegal termination from the services by (i) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (ii) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he has sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Kangra at Dharamshala was considered, examined and the Deputy Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 22 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 29-05-2015;

And whereas Shri Raghubir Singh S/O Shri Mansa Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High

Court of Himachal Pradesh vide CWP No. 1067/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the CWP on dated 01-06-2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment dated 30th December, 2014, delivered by the Hon'ble High Court in C.W.P. No. 9467 of 2014, titled as Pratap Chand Versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows:—

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Government of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether the alleged termination of services of Shri Raghubir Singh S/O Shri Mansa Ram, R/O V.P.O. Aund, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during year, 1990 by (i) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (ii) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked on daily wages as beldar and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 22 years vide demand notice dated nil received in the Labour Office Kangra at Dharamshala on 04-03-2013, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view delay of more than 22 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employers/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 16th November, 2016

No.:11-23/84(Lab)ID/2016/Mandi.—Whereas the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi has submitted a report as provided under Section-12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 stating that there was an alleged industrial dispute in between Shri Raju Ram S/O Shri Relu Ram, R/O Village Chah, P.O. Mandap, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. and the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Dharampur, District Mandi, H.P. as per demand notice dated 11-12-2014 submitted by the said ex-worker regarding his alleged termination of services.

Whereas, the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, has incorporated in the report that during the course of conciliation proceedings for the purpose of bringing about a legal and amicable settlement, all matters affecting the settlement were investigated and has made all efforts for the purpose of inducing the parties to come to legal, fair and amicable settlement of the said dispute. However, no such settlement could be arrived at in between the parties to the industrial dispute.

Whereas, undersigned while exercising the power vested as provided under sub- section-5 of Section-12 of the Act *ibid* carefully examined the report and come to the conclusion that above ex-worker had raised the dispute of alleged illegal termination from the services w.e.f. 01-04-2000 before the above employer after delay of more than 14 years and has worked during year, 1999 to 31-03-2000 respectively.

Therefore, in view of the above facts and circumstances, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as provided in Sub Section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) and keeping in view the latest judgments of the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla about the declining the references to the Labour Court for adjudication, formed an opinion to refer this dispute to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, for legal adjudication on following issue/issues:—

“Whether alleged termination of the services of Shri Raju Ram S/O Shri Relu Ram, R/O Village Chah, P.O. Mandap, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 01-04-2000 by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Dharampur, District Mandi, H.P. who has worked as beldar on daily wages basis and has raised his industrial dispute vide demand notice dated 11-12-2014 after delay of more than 14 years, without complying with the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of working period from year, 1999 to 31-03-2000 and delay of more than 14 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management? ”

By order,
Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 28th November, 2016

No.:11-1/85(Lab)ID/2016/Kangra.—Whereas Shri Ram Singh S/O Shri Kirpa Ram, R/O V.P.O. Gurchal, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated nil received in Labour Office Kangra at Dharamshala on 29-11-2012 regarding his illegal termination from the services by (i) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (ii) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he has sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Kangra at Dharamshala was considered, examined and the Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 21 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 24-03-2015;

And whereas Shri Ram Singh S/O Shri Kirpa Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide CWP No. 1231/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the CWP on dated 15-06-2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment dated 30th December, 2014, delivered by the Hon'ble High Court in C.W.P. No. 9467 of 2014, titled as Pratap Chand Versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows:-

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Government of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether the alleged termination of services of Shri Ram Singh S/O Shri Kirpa Ram, R/O V.P.O. Gurchal, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during year, 1990 by (i) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (ii) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked on daily wages as beldar and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 21 years vide demand notice dated nil received in the Labour Office Kangra at Dharamshala on 29-11-2012, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view delay of more than 21 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employers/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 28th November, 2016

No.:11-1/85(Lab)ID/2016/Kangra.—Whereas Shri Ranja Ram S/O Shri Nanku Ram, R/O V.P.O. Kopra, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated nil received in Labour Office Kangra at Dharamshala on 13-06-2011 regarding his illegal termination from the

services by (i) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (ii) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he has sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Kangra at Dharamshala was considered, examined and the Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 20 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 13-08-2013;

And whereas Shri Ranja Ram S/O Shri Nanku Ram has agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide CWP No. 749/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the CWP on dated 06-04-2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment dated 30th December, 2014, delivered by the Hon'ble High Court in C.W.P. No. 9467 of 2014, titled as Pratap Chand Versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows:-

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Government of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether the alleged termination of services of Shri Ranja Ram S/O Shri Nanku Ram, R/O V.P.O. Kopra, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during July, 1990 by (i) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (ii) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked on daily wages as beldar and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 20 years vide demand notice dated nil received in the Labour Office Kangra at Dharamshala on 13-06-2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view delay of more than 20 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employers/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 25th November, 2016*

No.:11-1/85(Lab)ID/2016/Kangra.—Whereas Shri Roshan Deen S/O Shri Ramjaan Deen, R/O V.P.O. Haddal, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated nil received in Labour Office Kangra at Dharamshala on 13-06-2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by (i) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (ii) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer Kangra at Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he has sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Kangra at Dharamshala was considered, examined and the Labour Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of about 21 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 31-08-2013;

And whereas Shri Roshan Deen S/O Shri Ramjaan Deen has agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide CWP No. 489/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the CWP on dated 19-03-2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment dated 30th December, 2014, delivered by the Hon'ble High Court in C.W.P. No. 9467 of 2014, titled as Pratap Chand Versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others. The operative part of the said judgment is reproduced as follows:—

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Government of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No.: Shram (A) 4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section-10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:—

“Whether the alleged termination of services of Shri Roshan Deen S/O Shri Ramjaan Deen, R/O V.P.O. Haddal, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during year, 1990 by (i) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (ii) the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., Division Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked on daily wages as beldar and has raised his industrial dispute after about 21 years vide demand notice dated nil received in the Labour Office Kangra at Dharamshala on 13-06-2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view delay of about 21 years in raising the industrial dispute,

what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employers/management?"

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner
Himachal Pradesh.

कार्मिक विभाग (नियुक्ति-II)

अधिसूचना

शिमला-171 002, 6 जून, 2017

संख्या: पर(एपी-बी)बी(2)-1/2017.—हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, भारत के संविधान के अनुच्छेद 309 के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, हिमाचल प्रदेश कर्मचारी चयन आयोग, हमीरपुर में **दफ्तरी, वर्ग-IV** (अराजपत्रित) के पद के लिए इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न उपाबन्ध "क" के अनुसार भर्ती और प्रोन्नति नियम बनाते हैं, अर्थात्:—

1. संक्षिप्त नाम और प्रारम्भ.—(1) इन नियमों का संक्षिप्त नाम हिमाचल प्रदेश कर्मचारी चयन आयोग, हमीरपुर, दफ्तरी, वर्ग-IV (अराजपत्रित) भर्ती और प्रोन्नति नियम, 2017 है।

(2) ये नियम राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश में प्रकाशित किए जाने की तारीख से प्रवृत्त होंगे।

आदेश द्वारा,
वी सी फारका,
मुख्य सचिव।

उपाबन्ध—'क'

हिमाचल प्रदेश कर्मचारी चयन आयोग, हमीरपुर में दफ्तरी, वर्ग-IV (अराजपत्रित) के पद के लिए
भर्ती और प्रोन्नति नियम

1. पद का नाम.—दफ्तरी
2. पदों की संख्या.—1 (एक)
3. वर्गीकरण.—वर्ग-IV (अराजपत्रित)
4. वेतनमान.—पै बैंड ₹4900-10680+1650 ग्रेड पे
5. चयन पद अथवा अचयन पद.—अचयन ।

6. सीधी भर्ती के लिए आयु.—लागू नहीं।

7. सीधे भर्ती किए जाने वाले व्यक्ति (व्यक्तियों) के लिए अपेक्षित न्यूनतम शैक्षिक और अन्य अर्हताएं.—लागू नहीं।

8. सीधे भर्ती किए जाने वाले व्यक्ति (व्यक्तियों) के लिए विहित आयु और शैक्षिक अर्हताएं, प्रोन्नति की दशा में लागू होगी.—आयु.—लागू नहीं।

शैक्षिक अर्हता.—लागू नहीं

9. परिवीक्षा की अवधि, यदि कोई हो.—लागू नहीं।

10. भर्ती की पद्धति—भर्ती सीधी होगी या प्रोन्नति, सैकेण्डमैन्ट, स्थानान्तरण द्वारा और विभिन्न पद्धतियों द्वारा भरे जाने वाले पद (पदों) की प्रतिशतता.—शतप्रतिशत प्रोन्नति द्वारा।

11. प्रोन्नति/सैकेण्डमैन्ट/स्थानान्तरण द्वारा भर्ती की दशा में वे श्रेणियां (ग्रेड) जिनसे प्रोन्नति/सैकेण्डमैन्ट/स्थानान्तरण किया जाएगा.—चपड़ासियों/चौकीदारों/स्वीपरों में से प्रोन्नति द्वारा, जिनका तीन वर्ष का नियमित सेवाकाल, या ग्रेड में की गई लगातार तदर्थ सेवाए यदि कोई हो, को सम्मिलित करके तीन वर्ष का संयुक्त नियमित सेवाकाल हो।

टिप्पणी.—प्रोन्नति के प्रयोजन के लिए पात्र कर्मचारियों की अपने-अपने ग्रेड में उनकी काडर-अनुसार वरिष्ठता को छोड़े बिना, सेवाकाल के आधार पर एक संयुक्त वरिष्ठता सूची तैयार की जाएगी।

(1) प्रोन्नति के सभी मामलों में पद पर नियमित नियुक्ति से पूर्व सम्भरक (पोषक) पद में की गई लगातार तदर्थ सेवा, यदि कोई हो, प्रोन्नति के लिए इन नियमों में यथा विहित सेवाकाल के लिए इस शर्त के अधीन रहते हुए गणना में ली जाएगी, कि सम्भरक (पोषक) प्रवर्ग में तदर्थ नियुक्ति/प्रोन्नति भर्ती और प्रोन्नति नियमों के उपबन्धों के अनुसार चयन की उचित स्वीकार्य प्रक्रिया को अपनाने के पश्चात् की गई थी :

परन्तु उन सभी मामलों में जिन में कोई कनिष्ठ व्यक्ति सम्भरक (पोषक) पद में अपने कुल सेवाकाल (तदर्थ आधार पर की गई तदर्थ सेवा सहित जो नियमित सेवा/नियुक्ति के अनुसरण में हो) के आधार पर उपर्युक्त निर्दिष्ट उपबन्धों के कारण विचार किए जाने का पात्र हो जाता है वहां अपने-अपने प्रवर्ग/पद/काडर में उससे वरिष्ठ सभी व्यक्ति विचार किए जाने के पात्र समझे जाएंगे और विचार करते समय कनिष्ठ व्यक्ति से ऊपर रखे जाएंगे :

परन्तु यह और कि उन सभी पदधारियों की, जिन पर प्रोन्नति के लिए विचार किया जाना है, की कम से कम तीन वर्ष की न्यूनतम अर्हता सेवा या पद के भर्ती और प्रोन्नति नियमों में विहित सेवा, जो भी कम हो, होगी :

परन्तु यह और कि, जहां कोई व्यक्ति पूर्वगामी परन्तुक की अपेक्षाओं के कारण प्रोन्नति किए जाने सम्बन्धी विचार के लिए अपात्र हो जाता है, वहां उससे कनिष्ठ व्यक्ति भी ऐसी प्रोन्नति के विचार के लिए अपात्र समझा जाएगा/समझे जाएंगे।

स्पष्टीकरण.—अन्तिम परन्तुक के अन्तर्गत कनिष्ठ पदधारी प्रोन्नति के लिए अपात्र नहीं समझा जाएगा यदि वरिष्ठ अपात्र व्यक्ति भूतपूर्व सैनिक है जिसे डिमोबिलाइज्ड आर्मड फोर्सिज परसोनल (रिजर्वेशन ऑफ वेकैन्सीज इन हिमाचल स्टेट नॉन टेक्नीकल सर्विसिज) रूलज 1972, के नियम-3 के उपबन्धों के अन्तर्गत भर्ती किया गया हो तथा इनके अन्तर्गत वरीयता लाभ दिए गए हों या जिसे एक्ससर्विसमैन (रिजर्वेशन ऑफ वेकैन्सीज इन दी हिमाचल प्रदेश टेक्नीकल सर्विसिज) रूलज, 1985 के नियम-3 के उपबन्धों के अन्तर्गत भर्ती किया गया हो और इसके अन्तर्गत वरीयता लाभ दिए गए हो।

(2) इसी प्रकार स्थायीकरण के सभी मामलों में ऐसे पद पर नियमित नियुक्ति से पूर्व सम्भरक (पोषक) पद पर की गई लगातार तदर्थ सेवा, यदि कोई हो, सेवाकाल के लिए गणना में ली जाएगी, यदि तदर्थ नियुक्ति/प्रोन्नति, उचित चयन के पश्चात् और भर्ती और प्रोन्नति नियमों के उपबन्धों के अनुसार की गई थी :

परन्तु उपर्युक्त निर्दिष्ट तदर्थ सेवा को गणना में लेने के पश्चात् जो स्थायीकरण होगा उसके फलस्वरूप पारस्परिक वरीयता अपरिवर्तित रहेगी ।

12. यदि विभागीय प्रोन्नति समिति विद्यमान हो, तो उसकी संरचना.—जैसी सरकार द्वारा समय-समय पर गठित की जाए ।

13. भर्ती करने में जिन परिस्थितियों में हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक सेवा आयोग से परामर्श किया जाएगा.—जैसा विधि द्वारा अपेक्षित हो ।

14. सीधी भर्ती के लिए अनिवार्य अपेक्षा.—लागू नहीं ।

15. सीधी भर्ती के लिए चयन.—लागू नहीं ।

16. आरक्षण.—सेवा में नियुक्ति, हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार द्वारा समय-समय पर अनुसूचित जातियों/अनुसूचित जनजातियों/अन्य पिछड़े वर्गों और व्यक्तियों के अन्य प्रवर्ग के लिए सेवा में आरक्षण की बाबत जारी किए गए आदेशों के अधीन होगी ।

17. विभागीय परीक्षा.—लागू नहीं ।

18. शिथिल करने की शक्ति.—जहां राज्य सरकार की यह राय हो कि ऐसा करना आवश्यक या समीचीन है, वहां वह, कारणों को लिखित में अभिलिखित करके, आदेश द्वारा और हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक सेवा आयोग के परामर्श से, इन नियमों के किन्ही उपबन्ध (उपबन्धों) को किसी वर्ग या व्यक्ति (व्यक्तियों) के प्रवर्ग या पद (पदों) की बाबत, शिथिल कर सकेगी ।

[Authoritative English Text of this Department Notification No. Per (AP.B)B(2)-1/2017 dated 06-06-2017 as required under clause (3) of article 348 of Constitution of India].

PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT (Apptt.II)

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171 002, the 6th June, 2017

No. Per (AP.B)B (2)-1/2017.—In exercise of the powers conferred by proviso to article 309 of the Constitution of India, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, is pleased to make the Recruitment and Promotion Rules for the post of **Daftri, Class-IV** (Non-Gazetted) in the Himachal Pradesh Staff Selection Commission, Hamirpur, as per Annexure “A” attached to this notification, namely:—

1. Short title and commencement.—(1) These rules may be called the Himachal Pradesh Staff Selection Commission, Hamirpur, Daftri, Class-IV (Non-Gazetted), Recruitment and Promotion Rules, 2017.

2. These rules shall come into force from the date of publication in the Rajpatra, Himachal Pradesh.

By order,
V. C. PHARKA,
Chief Secretary.

ANNEXURE "A"

**RECRUITMENT AND PROMOTION RULES FOR THE POST OF DAFTRI, CLASS-IV
(NON-GAZETTED) IN THE HIMACHAL PRADESH STAFF SELECTION
COMMISSION, HAMIRPUR**

1. **Name of post.**—Daftri
2. **Number of posts.**—01 (one)
3. **Classification.**—Class-IV (Non-Gazetted)
4. **Scale of pay.**—Pay Band ₹4900-10680 + ₹1650 Grade Pay
5. **Whether "Selection post" or non- selection post.**—Non-Selection
6. **Age for direct recruitment.**—Not Applicable
7. **Minimum Educational and other qualifications required for direct recruit(s).**—Not Applicable.
8. **Whether age and educational qualifications prescribed for direct recruits will apply in the case of the promotee(s).**—*Age.*—Not Applicable.
Educational Qualification.—Not Applicable.
9. **Period of probation, if any.**—Not applicable.
10. **Method of recruitment whether by direct recruitment or by promotion, secondment, transfer and the percentage of post(s) to be filled in by various methods.**—100 % by promotion.
11. **In case of recruitment by promotion/secondment/transfer/grade(s) from which promotion/secondment/transfer is to be made.**—By promotion from amongst the Peons/ Chowkidars / Sweepers possessing 03 years' regular service or regular combined with continuous adhoc service rendered, if any, in the grade.

Note.—For the purpose of promotion a combined seniority list of the eligible officials shall be prepared based on length of service in the respective grade without disturbing their cadre wise seniority

(1) In all cases of promotion, the continuous adhoc service rendered in the feeder post, if any, prior to regular appointment to the post shall be taken into account towards the length of service as prescribed in these rules for promotion subject to the condition that the adhoc appointment/promotion in the feeder category had been made after following proper acceptable process of selection in accordance with the provisions of R & P Rules :

(i) Provided that in all cases where a junior person becomes eligible for consideration by virtue of his total length of service (including the service rendered on adhoc basis followed by regular service/appointment) in the feeder post in view of the provision referred to above, all persons senior to him/her in the respective category/post/cadre shall be deemed to be eligible for consideration and placed above the junior person in the field of consideration :

Provided further that all incumbents to be considered for promotion shall possess the minimum qualifying service of atleast three years or that prescribed in the R & P Rules for the post, whichever is less :

Provided further that where a person becomes ineligible to be considered for promotion on account of the requirements of the preceding proviso, the person(s) junior to him shall also be deemed to be ineligible for consideration for such promotion.

EXPLANATION.—The last proviso shall not render the junior incumbents ineligible for consideration for promotion if the senior ineligible persons happened to be Ex- servicemen recruited under the provisions of rule-3 of Demobilized Armed Forces Personnel (Reservation of Vacancies in Himachal State Non-Technical Services) Rules, 1972 and having been given the benefit of seniority there under or recruited under the provisions of Rule-3 of Ex- servicemen (Reservation of Vacancies in the Himachal Pradesh Technical Services) Rules, 1985 and having been given the benefit of seniority there under.

(ii) Similarly, in all cases of confirmation, continuous adhoc service rendered on the feeder post, if any, prior to the regular appointment against such post shall be taken into account towards the length of service, if the adhoc appointment/promotion had been made after proper selection and in accordance with the provisions of the R & P Rules :

Provided that inter-se-seniority as a result of confirmation after taking into account, adhoc service rendered as referred to above shall remain unchanged.

12. If a Departmental Promotion Committee exists, what is its composition.—As may be constituted by the Government from time to time.

13. Circumstances under which the HP Public Service Commission (HPPSC) is to be consulted in making recruitment.—As required under the law.

14. Essential requirement for direct recruitment.—Not Applicable

15. Selection for appointment to post by direct recruitment.—Not Applicable

16. Reservation.—The appointment to the service shall be subject to orders regarding reservation in the service for Scheduled Castes/Scheduled Tribes/Other Backward Classes/ Other categories of persons issued by the Himachal Pradesh Government from time to time.

17. Departmental Examination.—Not Applicable.

18. Power to relax.—Where the State Government is of the opinion that it is necessary or expedient to do so, it may, by order for reasons to be recorded in writing and in consultation with the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission, relax any of the provision(s) of these rules with respect to any class or category of person(s) or post(s).

In the Court of Arindam Chaudhary, I.A.S., Marriage Officer-cum-Sub Divisional Magistrate, Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh

In the matter of :

Karan Dhiman aged 27 years s/o Shri Pritam Chand, r/o Village Lahar, P.O. Dugha, Tehsil & District Hamirpur (H.P.)

and

Vandana Kumari aged 25 years d/o Shri Dhian Chand, r/o Village Masan Bahal, P.O. Pansai, Tehsil Nadaun, District Hamirpur (H.P.) . . Applicants.

Versus

General Public

Subject.— Notice under Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Karan Dhiman and Vandana Kumari have filed an application u/s 16 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 alongwith affidavit and other supported documents in the court of undersigned in which they stated that they solemnized marriage on 17-01-2017.

Therefore, the General Public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection for this marriage can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 21-06-17. The objection received after 21-06-17 will not be entertained and marriage will be registered accordingly.

Issued today on 20-05-2017 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-

*Marriage Officer-cum-Sub Divisional Magistrate,
Hamirpur (H.P.).*

ब अदालत कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी एवं तहसीलदार, टौणी देवी, जिला हमीरपुर, हि० प्र०

श्री जय सिंह पुत्र श्री गोरख राम, गांव कलोह, डा० भटेड़, तहसील टौणी देवी, जिला हमीरपुर, हि० प्र०

बनाम

आम जनता

विषय.—दरखास्त जेर धारा 13(3) जन्म एवं मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

अतिरिक्त जिला रजिस्ट्रार जन्म एवं मृत्यु हमीरपुर के कार्यालय पत्र संख्या HFW-HMR(B&D) 2017/6775, दिनांक 6-5-2017 अनुसार श्री जय सिंह पुत्र श्री गोरख राम, गांव कलोह, डा0 भटेड़, तहसील टौणी देवी, जिला हमीरपुर, हि0 प्र0 का आवेदन समस्त रिकार्ड व शपथ पत्र सहित इस कार्यालय में प्राप्त हुआ है जिसमें उल्लेख है कि उसकी माता बोहरी देवी पत्नी गोरख राम की मृत्यु दिनांक 1-2-2008 को हुई है परन्तु ग्राम पंचायत भटेड़ के रिकार्ड में उक्त मृत्यु का पंजीकरण दिनांक को दर्ज न करवा सका है तथा अब मृत्यु दिनांक उपरोक्त को ग्राम पंचायत भटेड़ में दर्ज करवाना चाहता है। अतः इस इशतहार द्वारा आम जनता को सूचित किया जाता है कि बोहरी देवी पत्नी श्री गोरख राम, गांव कलोह, डा0 भटेड़, तहसील टौणी देवी, जिला हमीरपुर, हि0 प्र0 की मृत्यु तिथि 1-2-2008 को ग्राम पंचायत भटेड़ के रिकार्ड में दर्ज करने बारे किसी को कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 28-06-2017 को असालतन/वकालतन हाजिर आकर एतराज पेश कर सकता है। हाजिर न आने की सूरत में एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाकर आगामी कार्यवाही की जायेगी। उसके बाद का उजर जेर समायत न होगा।

आज दिनांक 17-5-2017 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
तहसील टौणी देवी, जिला हमीरपुर, हि0 प्र0।

ब अदालत श्री दमोदर दास, नायब तहसीलदार एवम् सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, बैजनाथ,
जिला कांगड़ा हिमाचल प्रदेश

दिनांक पेशी : 24-7-2017

रवि कुमार

बनाम

रघुवीर सिंह

निवासीयान महाल तरेहल, मौजा तरेहल, तहसील बैजनाथ, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

रवि कुमार ने इस अदालत हजा में वराये (तकसीम) भूमि विभाजन हेतु प्रार्थना-पत्र गुजारा है। प्रार्थी खाता नं0 11, खतौनी नं0 36 से 38, खसरा नम्बरान खसरा कित्ता 9, रकबा तादादी 01-60-34 है0 महाल तरेहल, मौजा तरेहल, तहसील बैजनाथ में भू0 मालिक है। प्रार्थी इस रकबा की तकसीम करवाना चाहता है। लेकिन कुछ हिस्सादारन को साधारण तरीके से इतलाह न हो पा रही है। इसलिए प्रार्थी प्रतिवादीगण रघुवीर सिंह, किशोर लाल, राम कृष्ण, जगननाथ पुत्रान, अनिता देवी, पवना देवी पुत्रिया, श्यामा देवी पत्नी स्व0 श्री तुलसी राम s/o Pheli Ram, व नरेन्द्र सिंह, सुरेन्द्र सिंह पुत्रान, नीशा देवी, सरस्वती देवी पुत्रियां, स्मया देवी पत्नी स्व0 श्री केहर सिंह s/o Pheli Ram व लक्ष्मण दास s/o खडकू व रमेश चन्द s/o वचित्र सिंह व रमेश चन्द, सुरेश चन्द पुत्रान, रुमा देवी पुत्री राजो देवी पत्नी स्व0 श्री झोपी राम s/o सुका राम व हरि राम s/o सुका राम व कालू राम पुत्र सोवा व पैनू पुत्र वहारु निवासीगण तरेहल, को वजरिया इशतहार सूचित किया जाता है कि वह असालतन या वकालतन पेशी तिथि 24-7-2017 (मामला तकसीम) में उपस्थित होकर मुकद्दमा की पैरवी करें व उजर/एतराज पेश करें अन्यथा उनके विरुद्ध एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 15-5-2017 को अदालत की मोहर व मेरे हस्ताक्षर के साथ जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,
बैजनाथ, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0।

ब अदालत श्री दमोदर दास, नायब तहसीलदार एवम् सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, बैजनाथ,
जिला कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0)

दिनांक पेशी : 24-7-2017

रवि कुमार

बनाम

गोरी चन्द

निवासीयान महाल तरेहल, मौजा तरेहल, तहसील बैजनाथ, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

रवि कुमार ने इस अदालत हजा में वराये (तकसीम) भूमि विभाजन हेतु प्रार्थना-पत्र गुजारा है। प्रार्थी खाता नं0 9 Min, खतौनी नं0 31, खसरा नम्बरान कित्ता 6, रकबा तादादी 00-48-72 है0 महाल तरेहल, मौजा तरेहल, तहसील बैजनाथ में भू0 मालिक है। प्रार्थी इस रकबा की तकसीम करवाना चाहता है। लेकिन कुछ हिस्सादारन को साधारण तरीके से इतलाह न हो पा रही है। इसलिए प्रार्थी प्रतिवादीगण गोरी चन्द सुपुत्र Dyal Rana s/oAchhar, r/o Trehal को वजरिया इश्तहार सूचित किया जाता है कि वह असालतन या वकालतन पेशी तिथि 24-7-2017 (मामला तकसीम) में उपस्थित होकर मुकद्दमा की पैरवी करें व उजर एतराज पेश करें अन्यथा उनके विरुद्ध एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 15-5-2017 को अदालत की मोहर व मेरे हस्ताक्षर के साथ जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित /—
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,
बैजनाथ, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0।

ब अदालत श्री दमोदर दास, नायब तहसीलदार एवम् सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, बैजनाथ,
जिला कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0)

दिनांक पेशी : 24-7-2017

रवि कुमार

बनाम

मुनीष राम आदि

निवासीयान महाल तरेहल, मौजा तरेहल, तहसील बैजनाथ, जिला कांगड़ा, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

रवि कुमार ने इस अदालत हजा में वराये (तकसीम) भूमि विभाजन हेतु प्रार्थना-पत्र गुजारा है। प्रार्थी खाता नं0 10 Min, खतौनी नं0 33, खसरा नम्बरान कित्ता 3, रकबा तादादी 00-31-58 है0 महाल तरेहल, मौजा तरेहल, तहसील बैजनाथ में भू0 मालिक है। प्रार्थी इस रकबा की तकसीम करवाना चाहता है। लेकिन कुछ हिस्सादारन को साधारण तरीके से इतलाह न हो पा रही है। इसलिए प्रार्थी प्रतिवादीगण मुनीष राम 2. ब्रह्म दास, 3. सुभाष चन्द पुत्रान सरन दास alias Ram Saran, r/o Trehal को वजरिया इश्तहार सूचित किया जाता है कि वह असालतन या वकालतन पेशी तिथि 24-7-2017 (मामला तकसीम) में उपस्थित होकर मुकद्दमा की पैरवी करें व उजर एतराज पेश करें अन्यथा उनके विरुद्ध एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 15-0-2017 को अदालत की मोहर व मेरे हस्ताक्षर के साथ जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित /—
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,
बैजनाथ, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0।

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)

मिसल नम्बर : 07 / 2017

तारीख मजरूआ : 12-05-2017

तारीख पेशी : 28-06-2017

श्री दमोदर दास पुत्र श्री ठाणू राम, निवासी गांव अप्पर बैरी, डाकखाना कोदुवां, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)
 ... प्रार्थी

बनाम

आम जनता

... फरीकदोयम

अधीन धारा 37(2) भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 के तहत आवेदन पत्र

श्री दमोदर दास पुत्र श्री ठाणू राम, निवासी गांव अप्पर बैरी, डाकखाना कोदुवां, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0) द्वारा समस्त औपचारिकताओं सहित इस न्यायालय में प्रस्तुत आवेदन पत्र में उल्लेख किया है कि उसका वास्तविक नाम दमोदर दास है जबकि राजस्व अभिलेख मुहाल अप्पर बैरी में उसका नाम दमोदर दर्ज है जो कि गलत है इसलिए उसने निवेदन किया है कि राजस्व अभिलेख मुहाल अप्पर बैरी में दरुस्ती की जाकर उसका नाम दमोदर उर्फ दमोदर दास दर्ज किया जाए।

अतः इससे पूर्व कि मामला में अधीन धारा 37(2) भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 के तहत आगामी आवश्यक कार्रवाई अमल में लाई जाए, इस नोटिस द्वारा जनसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त मामला में कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह इस न्यायालय में दिनांक 28-06-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे असातन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर अपना उजर/एतराज पेश कर सकता है। अन्यथा गैर हाजरी की सूरत में एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 12-05-2017 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
 सहायक समाहर्ता, द्वितीय श्रेणी,
 सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)।

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)

मिसल नम्बर : 06 / 2017

तारीख मजरूआ : 11-05-2017

तारीख पेशी : 28-06-2017

श्री त्रिलोक चन्द पुत्र स्व0 श्री शेरू, निवासी गांव भदेहड़, डाकखाना कुज्जाबल्ह, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)
 ... प्रार्थी

बनाम

आम जनता

... फरीकदोयम

अधीन धारा 37(2) भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 के तहत आवेदन पत्र

श्री त्रिलोक चन्द पुत्र स्व0 श्री शेरू, निवासी गांव भदेहड़, डाकखाना कुज्जाबल्ह, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0) द्वारा समस्त औपचारिकताओं सहित इस न्यायालय में प्रस्तुत आवेदन पत्र में उल्लेख किया है कि उसका वास्तविक नाम त्रिलोक चन्द है जबकि राजस्व अभिलेख मुहाल भदेहड़ में उसका नाम त्रिलोकी दर्ज है जो कि

गलत है इसलिए उसने निवेदन किया है कि राजस्व अभिलेख मुहाल भदेहड़ में दुरुस्ती की जाकर उसका नाम त्रिलोकी उर्फ त्रिलोक चन्द दर्ज किया जाए।

अतः इससे पूर्व कि मामला में अधीन धारा 37(2) भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 के तहत आगामी आवश्यक कार्रवाई अमल में लाई जाए, इस नोटिस द्वारा जनसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त मामला में कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह इस न्यायालय में दिनांक 28-06-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर अपना उजर/एतराज पेश कर सकता है। अन्यथा गैर हाजरी की सूरत में एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 11-05-2017 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
सहायक समाहर्ता, द्वितीय श्रेणी,
सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)।

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)

मिसल नम्बर : 08/2017

तारीख मजरूआ : 19-05-2017

तारीख पेशी : 20-06-2017

श्री मनोज कुमार ठाकुर पुत्र स्व0 श्री नेक राम, नि0 गांव व डाकखाना कोदुवां, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)
प्रार्थी

बनाम

आम जनता

फरीकदोयम

अधीन धारा 37(2) भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 के तहत आवेदन पत्र

श्री मनोज कुमार ठाकुर पुत्र स्व0 श्री नेक राम, नि0 गांव व डाकखाना कोदुवां, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0) द्वारा समस्त औपचारिकताओं सहित इस न्यायालय में प्रस्तुत आवेदन पत्र में उल्लेख किया है कि उसका वास्तविक नाम मनोज कुमार ठाकुर है जबकि राजस्व अभिलेख मुहाल कोदुवां में उसका नाम मनोज कुमार दर्ज है जो कि गलत है इसलिए उसने निवेदन किया है कि राजस्व अभिलेख मुहाल सोहर में दुरुस्ती की जाकर उसका नाम मनोज कुमार उर्फ मनोज कुमार ठाकुर दर्ज किया जाए।

अतः इससे पूर्व कि मामला में अधीन धारा 37(2) भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 के तहत आगामी आवश्यक कार्रवाई अमल में लाई जाए, इस नोटिस द्वारा जनसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त मामला में कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह इस न्यायालय में दिनांक 20-06-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर अपना उजर/एतराज पेश कर सकता है। अन्यथा गैर हाजरी की सूरत में एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 19-05-2017 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
सहायक समाहर्ता, प्रथम श्रेणी,
सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)।

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)

मिसल नम्बर : 07 / 2017

तारीख मजरूआ : 19-05-2017

तारीख पेशी : 20-06-2017

श्री कृष्ण सकलानी पुत्र श्री नौखू, नि0 गांव सोहर, डाकखाना सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0) प्रार्थी

बनाम

आम जनता

फरीकदोयम

अधीन धारा 37(2) भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 के तहत आवेदन पत्र

श्री कृष्ण सकलानी पुत्र श्री नौखू, नि0 गांव सोहर, डाकखाना सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0) द्वारा समस्त औपचारिकताओं सहित इस न्यायालय में प्रस्तुत आवेदन पत्र में उल्लेख किया है कि उसका वास्तविक नाम कृष्ण सकलानी है जबकि राजस्व अभिलेख मुहाल सोहर में उसका नाम कृष्ण कुमार दर्ज है जो कि गलत है इसलिए उसने निवेदन किया है कि राजस्व अभिलेख मुहाल सोहर में दुरुस्ती की जाकर उसका नाम कृष्ण कुमार उर्फ कृष्ण सकलानी दर्ज किया जाए।

अतः इससे पूर्व कि मामला में अधीन धारा 37(2) भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 के तहत आगामी आवश्यक कार्रवाई अमल में लाई जाए, इस नोटिस द्वारा जनसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त मामला में कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह इस न्यायालय में दिनांक 20-06-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे असागतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर अपना उजर/एतराज पेश कर सकता है। अन्यथा गैर हाजरी की सूत में एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 19-05-2017 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित / -
सहायक समाहर्ता, प्रथम श्रेणी,
सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)।

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)

मिसल नम्बर : 10 / 2017

तारीख मजरूआ : 25-05-2017

तारीख पेशी : 24-06-2017

श्री राजेन्द्र कुमार कटवाल, निवासी गांव घनाला, डाकखाना सन्धोल, तहसील सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0) प्रार्थी

बनाम

आम जनता

फरीकदोयम

अधीन धारा 37(2) भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 के तहत आवेदन पत्र

श्री राजेन्द्र कुमार कटवाल, निवासी गांव घनाला, डाकखाना सन्धोल, तहसील सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0) द्वारा समस्त औपचारिकताओं सहित इस न्यायालय में प्रस्तुत आवेदन पत्र में उल्लेख किया है कि

उसका वास्तविक नाम राजेन्द्र कुमार कटवाल है जबकि राजस्व अभिलेख मुहाल घनाला में उसका नाम राजिन्द्र कुमार दर्ज है जो कि गलत है इसलिए उसने निवेदन किया है कि राजस्व अभिलेख मुहाल घनाला में दुरुस्ती की जाकर इनका नाम राजिन्द्र कुमार उर्फ राजेन्द्र कुमार कटवाल दर्ज किया जाए।

अतः इससे पूर्व कि मामला में अधीन धारा 37(2) भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 के तहत आगामी आवश्यक कार्रवाई अमल में लाई जाए, इस नोटिस द्वारा जनसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त मामला में कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह इस न्यायालय में दिनांक 24-06-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर अपना उजर/एतराज पेश कर सकता है। अन्यथा गैर हाजरी की सूरत में एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 26-05-2017 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
सहायक समाहर्ता, प्रथम श्रेणी,
सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)।

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)

मिसल नम्बर : 09/2017

तारीख मजरूआ : 25-05-2017

तारीख पेशी : 20-06-2017

श्री ऋषि राम पुत्र स्व0 श्री पालसरा, निवासी गांव लखरेहड़, डाकखाना कुजाबल्ह, तहसील सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)

बनाम

आम जनता

फरीकदोयम

अधीन धारा 37(2) भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 के तहत आवेदन पत्र

श्री ऋषि राम पुत्र स्व0 श्री पालसरा, निवासी गांव लखरेहड़, डाकखाना कुजाबल्ह, तहसील सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0) द्वारा समस्त औपचारिकताओं सहित इस न्यायालय में प्रस्तुत आवेदन पत्र में उल्लेख किया है कि उसका वास्तविक नाम ऋषि राम है जबकि राजस्व अभिलेख मुहाल फनेहल में उसका नाम रिखी राम दर्ज है जो कि गलत है इसलिए इन्होंने निवेदन किया है कि राजस्व अभिलेख मुहाल फनेहल में दुरुस्ती की जाकर इनका नाम रिखी राम उर्फ ऋषि राम दर्ज किया जाए।

अतः इससे पूर्व कि मामला में अधीन धारा 37(2) भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 के तहत आगामी आवश्यक कार्रवाई अमल में लाई जाए, इस नोटिस द्वारा जनसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त मामला में कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह इस न्यायालय में दिनांक 20-06-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर अपना उजर/एतराज पेश कर सकता है। अन्यथा गैर हाजरी की सूरत में एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 26-05-2017 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
सहायक समाहर्ता, प्रथम श्रेणी,
सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)।

**In the Court of Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Sadar,
District Mandi, H. P.**

In the matter of :—

1. Shri Mukesh Kumar Bhatt s/o Shri Lekh Raj Bhatt, r/o H. No. 82/11 Thanehara Muhalla Mandi, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H.P.
2. Smt. Ruchika Sharma d/o Sanjay Sharma, r/o RZ-64E Gali No. 9 TKD New Delhi-110019

. . Applicants.

Versus

General Public

Subject.—Application for the registration of Marriage under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Shri Mukesh Kumar Bhatt s/o Shri Lekh Raj Bhatt, r/o H. No. 82/11 Thanehara Muhalla Mandi, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H.P. and Smt. Ruchika Sharma d/o Sanjay Sharma, r/o RZ-64E Gali No. 9 TKD, New Delhi-110 019 (at present wife of Shri Mukesh Kumar Bhatt s/o Shri Lekh Raj Bhatt, r/o H. No. 82/11 Thanehara Muhalla Mandi, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H.P.) have filed an application along with affidavits in the court of undersigned under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 that they have solemnized their marriage on 30-09-2014 according to Hindu rites and customs at Budhi Bherva Temple 7 Mile, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi and they are living together as husband and wife since then. Hence, their marriage may be registered under Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding this marriage, can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 27-06-2017 after that no objection will be entertained and marriage will be registered.

Issued today on 27th day of May, 2017 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-
*Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Sadar, District Mandi (H.P.).*

**In the Court of Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Sadar,
District Mandi, H. P.**

In the matter of :—

1. Shri Anshuman Soni s/o Ravi Soni, r/o H. No. 150/7 Upper Samkhetar Mandi, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H.P.

2. Smt. Swati d/o Shiv Karan, r/o H. No. 120/12 Ram Nagar Mandi, District Mandi, H. P.

. . Applicants.

Versus

General Public

Subject.—Application for the registration of Marriage under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Shri Anshuman Soni s/o Ravi Soni, r/o H. No. 150/7 Upper Samkhetar Mandi, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H.P. and Smt. Swati d/o Shiv Karan, r/o H. No. 120/12 Ram Nagar Mandi, District Mandi, H. P. (at present wife of Shri Anshuman Soni s/o Ravi Soni, r/o H. No. 150/7 Upper Samkhetar Mandi, Tehsil Sadar, District Mandi, H.P.) have filed an application along with affidavits in the court of undersigned under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 that they have solemnized their marriage on 28-02-2017 according to Hindu rites and customs at Baniuri Temple Gohar, Mandi and they are living together as husband and wife since then. Hence, their marriage may be registered under Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding this marriage, can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 27-06-2017 after that no objection will be entertained and marriage will be registered.

Issued today on 27th day of May, 2017 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-

*Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Sadar, District Mandi (H.P.).*

**In the Court of Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Sadar,
District Mandi, H. P.**

In the matter of :—

1. Shri Sanjay Kumar s/o Jai Dev, r/o Village Sadoh, P.O. Baryara, Tehsil Kotli, District Mandi, H.P.
 2. Smt. Bhintra Devi d/o Hans Raj, r/o Village Chalahar, P. O. Saletar, Tehsil Kotli, District Mandi, H. P.
- . . Applicants.

Versus

General Public

Subject.—Application for the registration of Marriage under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Shri Sanjay Kumar s/o Jai Dev, r/o Village Sadoh, P.O. Baryara, Tehsil Kotli, District Mandi, H.P. and Smt. Bhintra Devi d/o Hans Raj, r/o Village Chalahar, P. O. Saletar, Tehsil Kotli, District Mandi, H.P. (at present wife of Shri Sanjay Kumar s/o Jai Dev, r/o Village Sadoh, P.O. Baryara, Tehsil Kotli, District Mandi, H.P.) have filed an application along with affidavits in the court of undersigned under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 that they have solemnized their marriage on 29-01-2016 according to Hindu rites and customs at their respective houses and they are living together as husband and wife since then. Hence, their marriage may be registered under Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding this marriage, can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 27-06-2017 after that no objection will be entertained and marriage will be registered.

Issued today on 27th day of May, 2017 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-

*Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Sadar, District Mandi (H.P.).*

**ब अदालत श्री अनिल चौहान, उप-मण्डलाधिकारी (नागरिक) चौपाल, तहसील चौपाल,
जिला शिमला (हिमाचल प्रदेश)**

श्री रामा नन्द पुत्र श्री जालमू गांव शन्डा, डाकघर देवत, ग्राम पंचायत देवत, तहसील चौपाल, जिला शिमला, हिमाचल प्रदेश।

बनाम

- (1) आम जनता,
- (2) प्रधान ग्राम पंचायत देवत, तहसील चौपाल, जिला शिमला।

विषय.—प्रार्थी की पुत्री का नाम व जन्म—तिथि ग्राम पंचायत देवत के जन्म पंजीकरण रजिस्टर में दर्ज करवाए जाने बारे कि अधीन धारा 13(3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969 के अन्तर्गत जन्म पंजीकरण करने बारे।

हर खास व आम जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार सूचित किया जाता है कि प्रार्थी श्री रामा नन्द ने अधोहस्ताक्षरी के न्यायालय में एक आवेदन—पत्र प्रस्तुत किया है कि उसने अपनी पुत्री का नाम व जन्म तिथि ग्राम पंचायत देवत के जन्म पंजीकरण रजिस्टर में दर्ज नहीं करवाई हैं, अब प्रार्थी अपनी पुत्री का नाम व जन्म तिथि ग्राम पंचायत देवत के जन्म पंजीकरण रजिस्टर में दर्ज करवाना चाहता है, जो कि निम्न प्रकार से है :—

नाम	संबन्ध	जन्म तिथि
प्रिया	पुत्री	17-04-2002

अतः ग्राम पंचायत देवत तहसील चौपाल की जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उपरोक्त जन्म पंजीकरण बारे कोई आपत्ति हो तो तारीख 22-06-2017 को या इससे पूर्व असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर अदालत आकर अपनी आपत्ति प्रस्तुत करें अन्यथा आवेदन—पत्र पर जन्म पंजीकरण आदेश पारित करके सचिव ग्राम पंचायत देवत को आगामी कार्यान्वयन हेतु भेज दिया जायेगा।

आज दिनांक 22-05-2017 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर सहित अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

अनिल चौहान,
उप-मण्डलाधिकारी (नागरिक),
चौपाल, तहसील चौपाल, जिला शिमला (हि0 प्र0)।

**ब अदालत श्री मस्त राम बघाईक, सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, चौपाल,
जिला शिमला, हि0 प्र0**

श्री सन्त राम पुत्र श्री राजेन्द्र सिंह, निवासी गांव नावणी, डा0घ0 पुलबाहल, तहसील चौपाल, जिला शिमला, हि0 प्र0

बनाम

- (1) आम जनता,
- (2) प्रधान, ग्राम पंचायत जावग छमरोग, तहसील चौपाल, जिला शिमला।

विषय.—प्रार्थी के पुत्र का नाम व जन्म-तिथि ग्राम पंचायत जावग छमरोग के जन्म पंजीकरण रजिस्टर में दर्ज करवाए जाने बारे कि अधीन धारा 13(3) जन्म एवम् मृत्यु पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969 के अन्तर्गत जन्म पंजीकरण करने बारे।

हर खास व आम जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार सूचित किया जाता है कि प्रार्थी श्री सन्त राम पुत्र श्री राजेन्द्र सिंह, निवासी गांव नावणी, डा0घ0 पुलबाहल, तहसील चौपाल, जिला शिमला, हि0 प्र0 ने अधोहस्ताक्षरी के न्यायालय में एक आवेदन-पत्र प्रस्तुत किया है कि उसने अपने बेटे का नाम व जन्म तिथि ग्राम पंचायत जावग छमरोग के जन्म पंजीकरण रजिस्टर में दर्ज नहीं करवाया है, अब प्रार्थी अपने बच्चे का नाम व जन्म तिथि ग्राम पंचायत जावग छमरोग के जन्म पंजीकरण रजिस्टर में दर्ज करवाना चाहता है, जो कि इस प्रकार से है:-

क्रम संख्या	नाम	सम्बन्ध	जन्म तिथि
1.	रोहित कुमार	पुत्र	15-02-2003

अतः ग्राम पंचायत जावग छमरोग, तहसील चौपाल की जनता को बजरिया इश्तहार सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी व्यक्ति को उपरोक्त जन्म पंजीकरण बारे कोई आपत्ति हो तो तारीख 11-07-2017 को या इससे पूर्व असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर अदालत आकर अपनी आपत्ति प्रस्तुत करें अन्यथा आवेदन-पत्र पर जन्म पंजीकरण आदेश पारित करके सचिव ग्राम पंचायत जावग छमरोग को आगामी कार्यान्वयन हेतु भेज दिया जायेगा।

आज दिनांक 24-05-2017 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर सहित अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

मस्त राम बघाईक,
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,
चौपाल, जिला शिमला (हि0 प्र0)।

**In the Court of Shri Gian Sagar Negi, Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Shimla (R),
District Shimla (H. P.)**

Shri Dorjee Tsetan s/o Lt. Shri Kalsang Wangue, r/o House No. 2, MD-36, Tibetan Colony Panthaghatti, Tehsil & District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh.

Versus

General Public

. . Respondent.

Whereas Shri Dorjee Tsetan s/o Lt. Shri Kalsang Wangue, r/o House No. 2, MD-36, Tibetan Colony Panthaghatti, Tehsil & District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh has filed an application along with affidavit in the court of undersigned under section 13(3) of the Birth & Death Registration Act, 1969 to enter the date of birth of his son named—Mr. Tenzin Namgyal s/o Shri Dorjee Tsetan s/o Lt. Shri Kalsang Wangue, r/o House No. 2, MD-36, Tibetan Colony Panthaghatti, Tehsil & District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh in the record of Secy., Birth and Death, Municipal Corporation, Shimla.

Sl. No.	Name of the family member	Relation	Date of Birth
1.	Mr. Tenzin Namgyal	Son	18-10-1984

Hence, this proclamation is issued to the general public if they have any objection/claim regarding entry of the name & date of birth of above named in the record of Municipal Corporation, Shimla may file their claims/objections on or before one month of publication of this notice in Govt. Gazette in this court, failing which necessary orders will be passed.

Issued today on 05-06-2017 under my signature and seal of the court.

Seal.

GIAN SAGAR NEGI,
Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Shimla (R), District Shimla.

In the Court of Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Theog, District Shimla, H. P.

Shri Madan Lal s/o Late Shri Sewak Ram, Village Mool Matiana, Gram Panchayat Rouni, Tehsil Theog, District Shimla, H.P. . . Applicant.

Versus

The General Public

. . Respondent.

Application under Section 13 (3) of Birth and Death Registration Act, 1969.

Whereas, Shri Madan Lal s/o Late Shri Sewak Ram, Village Mool Matiana, Gram Panchayat Rouni, Tehsil Theog, District Shimla, H.P. has moved an application stating therein that

he could not register the death of his mother Late Smt. Sumitra w/o Late Shri Sewak Ram Village Mool Matiana, Gram Panchayat Rouni, Tehsil Theog, District Shimla, H.P. whose date of Death is 23-04-2017 in the record of Gram Panchayat Rouni (Matiana) Tehsil Theog, and further stated that in Panchayat record his mother's name was entered as Kanso but her correct name is Sumitra.

Whereas, by this proclamation, the general public is hereby informed that any person having any objection for these entries of the above mentioned name may submit his objection in writing in this court on or before 23-06-2017 failing which no objection will be entertained after expiry of date.

Given under my hand and seal of the court on this 23rd day of May, 2017.

Seal.

Sd/-
*Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Theog, District Shimla.*